

Are there some things that should not be for sale, and how ought they be distributed? This thesis aims to use a republican defense of two parameters for restricting the trading of particular goods: equal standing and corruption. Critics often decry that such moral limits to the market illegitimately incur on our freedom, however this 'freedom as non-interference' is lacking when challenged by freedom as independence. By applying this expanded form of freedom to a pluralistic framework of virtues, domains and allocation methods, we can defend the parameters of equal standing and corruption and show how this can aid in the just distribution of civic, necessary and physical goods.